

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.iispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMPINALTIONING	
09/295,555	04/21/1999	THOMAS WEYH	GK-ZEI-3049	CONFIRMATION NO.	
26418 73	590 03/12/2003				
REED SMITH, LLP ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650			EXAMINER		
			ROBINSON, MARK A		
1014, 11 10022-7030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
		DATE MAIL ED. 02/12/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Acti n	09/295,555	WEYH ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Robinson	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she t with the c	correspond nce add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a second control in a second	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the		er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	E FINAL RÉJECTION.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action: or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.	
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)□ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 11-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-9.			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt/s)/ PTO-1449) 'Paper No/s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitations regarding the dispersion element would require further consideration/search.

MARK A. ROSINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER